The Connection

Artist's Proof 15

Electromagnetism

EM as non-disconnection on a local manifold

§1 — The Phase

Every quantum state you have ever encountered carries a hidden degree of freedom. It is not the magnitude — the magnitude gives the probability. It is the phase. The angle.

The rotation that no measurement can detect directly, but whose consequences shape every interference pattern ever recorded.

AP09 derives complex amplitudes from the Lorentzian signature of the manifold (§3.3). The pre-state lives in a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. State vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ have complex amplitudes.

Every complex amplitude has a magnitude and a phase.

The Born rule (AP09 §6) gives: $P = |\psi|^2 = \psi\psi^*$ = Light × Dark.

The magnitude determines the probability. The phase does not. Replace ψ with e^{iθ}ψ (where $i^2 = -1$) — rotate the phase by any angle θ — and the probability is unchanged:

|e^{iθ}ψ|² = e^{iθ}ψ × e^{−iθ}ψ = ψψ = $|\psi|^2$

This is U(1). The group of rotations of a circle. One parameter: θ. One operation: multiplication by e^{iθ}. The simplest continuous symmetry. And you already have it.

This symmetry is not imposed. It is not a choice.

It is a consequence of the Born rule, which is a consequence of the involution σ (Axiom S), which is a consequence of the two-sector structure. U(1) is already derived. It lives in the pre-state.

It has been there since AP09.

The question is: what does this symmetry look like when read on the manifold?

§2 — THE PRE-STATE IS ONE

Before you can understand the connection, you need to understand what it connects. The answer is: nothing was ever apart.

2.1 — Before records

Before any record is written, there is no manifold. No locality. No "here" vs "there." The pre-state is the 1:1 — perfect symmetry, undivided, one thing.

Superposition is the empty set state (AP09 §3): 0 and 1 undistinguished.

In this condition, the phase freedom is global in the most absolute sense. There is no structure across which it could vary. There are no points. There is no separation.

The phase is one number, everywhere — except there is no everywhere. There is just the one. And you are about to see what happens when a local manifold tries to describe a global truth.

**2.2 — Records create locality

Records are written. Axiom R: irreversible, monoid, no inverses. Time begins.

The accumulated record is the environment (AP13 §2.1). The monoid admits embedding into a smooth manifold M (AP20).

The manifold has the structure derived in AP10: four dimensions — one temporal (R), three spatial (C, S, B). Lorentzian signature (−, +, +, +).

Locality emerges from R + C + G acting together. R creates time: the record changes, the now does not move (AP09 §4.3). C creates spatial separation: finite propagation means "here" and "there" are distinguishable.

$G = 2\kappa/m_e^2$ is the accumulated record's effect on the geometry: curvature. Together, they produce a manifold with points, distances, causal structure, and separation. You can point to a location. You can measure a distance.

The manifold gives you that.

Now there IS a "here" and a "there." Now the phase at this point and the phase at that point are, in principle, different questions.

**2.3 — But the pre-state was never divided

The manifold has locality. The pre-state does not.

The manifold is the accumulated record — the black curve of the eye. It has points, separation, curvature. The pre-state is the unbroken — the white space of the eye. It has no points.

It has no separation. Separateness is experienced but not fundamental (Reference Standard, Part 15).

The pre-state remains one. It was one before any records were written. It is one after N records are written. It will be one after N^2 records are written.

The records create the experience of separation. The pre-state, which carries the phase, is never separated.

The phase freedom remains global. It was always global. It is still global. The manifold has locality. The phase does not. This is not a contradiction. It is the central fact.

Hold it in your mind — everything that follows depends on it.

Proposition (Global U(1) covariance). The path sum K derived in AP09 is covariant under global U(1): K(e^{iθ}ψ_f | e^{iθ}ψ_i) = K(ψ_f | ψ_i) for all θ.

Proof: the Born rule P = ψψ* is invariant under ψ → e^{iθ}ψ (§1). The Schrödinger evolution operator Û preserves the inner product structure of $\mathcal{H}$ (AP09 §4).

The path sum K is constructed from products of amplitudes and their conjugates (AP09 §5, AP14 §2). Each such product is invariant under a common phase rotation. Therefore K is globally U(1)-covariant.

The pre-state's phase freedom is not local — it acts identically at every point. This is the mathematical content of "the pre-state is one."

§3 — THE CONNECTION

Here is the central move of this paper. Watch it carefully — because this is where electromagnetism stops being a separate force and starts being a consequence of unity read through locality.

3.1 — A global symmetry on a local structure

The manifold has points. Each point has a tangent space. The complex structure of $\mathcal{H}$ (AP09) associates to each point a U(1) phase degree of freedom: the fiber of a complex line bundle over M.

At each point, there is a phase. At each point, the phase can be rotated by e^{iθ} without changing the local probability.

If the pre-state were actually local — if the phases at different points were independent — then θ could be different at every point. The symmetry would be local: θ(x), a function on the manifold.

This is the standard gauge argument. It assumes phases are independent and then requires a connection to stitch them together.

In the record algebra, the situation is the opposite. The phases are not independent. The pre-state is one. The phase is global. The manifold creates the appearance of separation between phases that were never separated.

The connection does not stitch together independent phases. The connection expresses the fact that they were never apart.

**3.2 — What the connection is

Definition. The connection Aμ is the field on the manifold that encodes the pre-state's global phase coherence as read at each point of the local structure.

At each point x on the manifold, the pre-state's phase can be read in local coordinates as some angle θ(x).

This $\theta(x)$ is not the global phase itself — it is a local coordinate representation: a choice of gauge, a section of the bundle.

Different coordinate patches may assign different $\theta(x)$ to the same global truth. The connection A_μ is the 1-form that relates these local readings across the manifold:

$D_\mu\psi = (\partial_\mu - iqA_\mu)\psi$

The covariant derivative D_μ is the rule for transporting the phase from one point to a neighbouring point on the manifold. A_μ is the connection 1-form — not, in general, a gradient of a scalar.

In any single coordinate patch, a gauge choice gives a local representation $\theta(x)$, and the connection can be written $A_\mu = \partial_\mu\theta + \bar{A}_\mu$ where $\bar{A}_\mu$ is the physically meaningful part.

But this decomposition is local. Globally, A_μ is a connection on the U(1) principal bundle over M: the bundle whose fiber at each point is the phase circle S^1 inherited from $\mathcal{H}$ (AP09).

In the absence of ε (no break, no source) and with trivial boundary conditions (no incoming excitations), the pre-state's phase is read consistently everywhere.

The bundle is trivial — a global section exists — and the connection can be gauged to $A_\mu = 0$ everywhere, provided the ε-free domain is simply connected (the source-free sector of the embedded manifold is contractible by construction; flat holonomy without curvature on non-simply-connected domains is a mathematical possibility but does not arise in the architecture's construction).

$F_{\mu\nu} = 0$. No electromagnetic field. The phase is one and the manifold reads it without distortion.

(Source-free solutions with $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ — i.e. radiation — arise when boundary or initial data carry non-trivial field configurations; these are consistent with Maxwell's equations (§4.4) and describe the photon (§7).)

In the presence of ε, the 1:1 is broken at a point. The connection acquires non-trivial curvature: ε, as a charged source (Theorem 1), forces a non-flat connection via the derived field equations (Corollary, §4.4).

Around any loop enclosing ε, the connection carries non-trivial holonomy — the phase, transported around the loop, returns to a different reading. The connection cannot be gauged to zero. A_μ is not a gradient.

$F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$. The electromagnetic field is the curvature forced by the source. [Epistemic status: DERIVED.

That ε forces this is proved in §4.3–§4.4: Axiom B (no σ-image) → $q \neq 0$ (Theorem 1) → minimal coupling forced (Theorem 2a) → Maxwell equations with $J_\mu \neq 0$ → $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ (Corollary, §4.4).]

The connection is the dictionary. When the manifold is source-free, the dictionary is trivial ($A_\mu = 0$ in a suitable gauge).

When ε is present, the dictionary must work around the break, and the curvature $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the measure of how hard it must work.

Correspondence: in the mathematics of fiber bundles, a connection with non-trivial holonomy around a source is exactly what a charged particle produces.

The argument identifies the source: ε, the one element with no σ-image (Axiom B). The break is the charge.

**3.3 — The connection is not a promotion

In the standard gauge argument, one begins with a global symmetry and promotes it to a local symmetry by fiat. The promotion requires introducing a gauge field A_μ to preserve the Lagrangian under local transformations.

This works but has no explanation for why the promotion occurs.

In the record algebra, there is no promotion. The phase was always global. The manifold was always local.

The connection Aμ exists because a global quantity (the phase) is being read on a local structure (the manifold). It is not that a global symmetry was promoted to local.

It is that a global truth is being expressed through a local language.

**The connection is the dictionary.

§4 — ELECTROMAGNETISM

The connection exists. The source exists. Now the algebra does what algebra does — it derives the field equations. And you will recognise them. They are Maxwell's equations.

4.1 — The field strength

The connection A_μ is a 1-form on M. Its curvature is the 2-form:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

This is the electromagnetic field tensor. It encodes the electric and magnetic fields.

$F_{\mu\nu}$ is the curvature of the connection. It measures the extent to which the global phase, when transported around a closed loop on the manifold, returns to a different reading.

If $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$ everywhere, the connection is flat and the global phase is read consistently everywhere.

If $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$, the presence of ε (the charged source that forces non-trivial curvature via the derived field equations) creates a discrepancy between the global truth and the local reading.

The manifold's geometry provides the arena; ε is the source.

That discrepancy is the electromagnetic field. You feel it every time you touch a doorknob in winter.

**4.2 — Gauge invariance

The global phase θ is not observable. Only probabilities are observable (Born rule, AP09 §6). The connection A_μ can be shifted by $A_\mu \to A_\mu + \partial_\mu\lambda(x)$ for any smooth function $\lambda(x)$ without changing $F_{\mu\nu}$:

$$F_{\mu\nu} \to \partial_\mu(A_\nu + \partial_\nu\lambda) - \partial_\nu(A_\mu + \partial_\mu\lambda) = F_{\mu\nu}$$

This is gauge invariance. It is not a postulate. It is the mathematical consequence of a principal bundle connection on a manifold with local charts.

Once EH gives the manifold M with local coordinate patches (AP20), and the phase fiber S^1 at each point forms a U(1) principal bundle (§3.1–3.2), local sections of this bundle parametrise how the global phase is read in local coordinates.

Changes of local section are parametrised by smooth U(1)-valued functions λ(x) on overlaps — this is standard bundle mathematics, not a physical postulate.

The transformation $A_\mu \to A_\mu + \partial_\mu\lambda$ is the connection's response to a change of local section: it is the dictionary's response to a change of language.

Local gauge redundancy is therefore not a “promotion” of global invariance; it is an automatic feature of expressing a global structure (the phase) through local coordinates (the manifold).

Only the curvature of the connection — the electromagnetic field — is observable. The gauge freedom is the freedom in how you choose to read the unobservable global phase in local coordinates.

Different gauges are different dictionaries. The physics is in $F_{\mu\nu}$, not in A_μ. You cannot measure the gauge. You can only measure the curvature.

**4.3 — The source: ε

The electromagnetic field has a source. The source is ε — the break. The electron.

ε is the one element with no σ-image (Axiom B). It breaks the 1:1. On the manifold, ε is a fermion (AP11 §3.2), with spin ½ (AP11 §4), mass m_e (The Lock).

It is the minimum viable splinter.

Theorem 1 (ε is charged). ε carries non-zero U(1) charge.

Proof.

Axiom S gives the involution σ on the record algebra. σ is total on the paired sector: for every element a in the paired sector, σ(a) exists and σ(σ(a)) = a. ε lies outside the paired sector: Axiom B states that σ(ε) does not exist in the algebra.

(σ is an involution on its domain; ε is not in that domain.)

Definition (charged). In this framework, an element a of the record algebra is uncharged (q = 0) if and only if a is in the domain of σ and is σ-fixed: σ(a) = a.

An element is charged (q ≠ 0) if it is not σ-fixed — either because σ(a) ≠ a, or because σ(a) does not exist (i.e. a is outside Dom(σ)).

This definition is justified by the representation theory that follows: σ-fixed ⇒ q = 0 is proved below, and the contrapositive (not σ-fixed ⇒ q ≠ 0) is the direction used in Theorem 1.

AP09 §3.2 identifies σ with complex conjugation on $\mathcal{H}$: σ(ψ) = ψ*. For ψ = |ψ|$e^{i\varphi}$, complex conjugation reverses the phase: σ(ψ) = |ψ|$e^{-i\varphi}$.

In the U(1) representation where a state with charge q transforms as ψ → $e^{iq\theta}$ψ, its conjugate ψ transforms as ψ → $e^{-iq\theta}$ψ*, i.e. with charge −q.

Therefore: if an element within Dom(σ) is σ-fixed (σ(a) = a), then it must carry charge q = −q, i.e. q = 0. Equivalently, by contrapositive: any element that is not σ-fixed carries q ≠ 0. (The converse — that q = 0 implies σ-fixed — is not required for this argument and is not claimed.)

Elements outside Dom(σ) have no conjugate partner and therefore cannot be σ-fixed; they are charged by the Definition above.

Now: ε is outside Dom(σ) (Axiom B: σ(ε) does not exist). By the Definition above, ε is not σ-fixed. Therefore ε is charged: q ≠ 0. ■

The electron is charged because it has no mirror image. That is it. That is the entire reason.

Theorem 2 (ε forces non-trivial connection and curvature). The U(1) connection on M couples non-trivially to ε. In any region containing ε, $F_{\mu\nu}$ ≠ 0.

Proof by two complementary arguments, one algebraic and one dynamical.

(a) Algebraic argument (minimal coupling forced). U(1) gauge invariance is derived (§1, §4.2). Under a gauge transformation, $\psi \to e^{iq\theta}\psi$.

With $q \neq 0$ (Theorem 1), the ordinary derivative $\partial_\mu\psi$ is not gauge-covariant: $\partial_\mu(e^{iq\theta}\psi) = e^{iq\theta}(\partial_\mu\psi + iq(\partial_\mu\theta)\psi) \neq e^{iq\theta}\partial_\mu\psi$.

Gauge invariance of the theory requires the replacement $\partial_\mu \to D_\mu = \partial_\mu - iqA_\mu$, where A_μ transforms as $A_\mu \to A_\mu + \partial_\mu\theta$. This is minimal coupling.

It is not optional — it is forced by gauge invariance and $q \neq 0$. You have no choice here. The algebra leaves no room. The connection A_μ must couple to ε.

(b) Dynamical argument ($F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$). The action principle is derived from the path sum (Theorem 3, §4.4). The unique gauge-invariant action at leading derivative order gives Maxwell's equations $\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = J^\mu$ (§4.4).

The current $J_\mu \neq 0$ wherever ε-records are present (Definition below; Theorem 1).

Therefore $\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \neq 0$, which requires $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$, in any region containing ε. [Note: this argument uses Theorem 3 and §4.4, which appear below.

The logical dependence is: Theorem 1 → Theorem 2(a) → Theorem 3 → Maxwell → Theorem 2(b). Part (b) is a corollary of the complete chain, not a prerequisite for it.]
■

[Epistemic status: DERIVED. Theorem 1 uses Axiom S (σ as involution on paired sector), AP09 (σ = conjugation), and Axiom B (ε outside σ's domain).

Theorem 2(a) uses derived gauge invariance and Theorem 1. Theorem 2(b) uses Theorems 1, 2(a), 3, and the derived Maxwell equations.

No external physical postulate is imported; standard mathematical reasoning (gauge theory, variational calculus) is applied on the derived manifold.]

Correspondence: in the mathematics of fiber bundles, a connection with non-trivial holonomy around a source is exactly what a charged particle produces.

The argument derives the source: ε, the one element with no σ-image (Axiom B). The break is the charge.

Definition. The current Jμ is the pushforward to M of the ε-record worldline. ε carries charge q ≠ 0 (Theorem 1); on the manifold it traces a timelike worldline γ (Axiom C).

The 4-current Jμ(x) = qδ^3(x − x_ε(t)) dx_ε^μ/dt is the density and flow of ε-charge at x. [Epistemic status: DEFINITION.

The distributional form uses standard mathematical tools (delta measure, worldline parametrisation) applied to the derived manifold. The physical content — that ε carries charge and traces a worldline — is derived (Theorem 1, Axiom C).]

The coupling strength — how strongly ε sources the connection — is the electric charge. That ε couples to Aμ is structural (ε breaks the 1:1; the connection must respond).

The dimensionless strength of this coupling, the fine-structure constant α_{em} ≈ 1/137, is not derived (KS-4, OPEN). It is the coupling strength of one minimum element breaking one minimum symmetry.

**4.4 — Maxwell's equations

The dynamics of Aμ are determined by a uniqueness argument paralleling Lovelock.

Theorem 3 (Action principle from path sum). The action principle is contained in the path sum already derived from the axioms.

Proof. AP09 §5 derives the path sum K from the axioms: K assigns transition amplitudes between records by summing over all intermediate record sequences.

AP09 §4 derives the Schrödinger equation iħ∂ψ/∂t = Ĥψ from the same axioms. The Schrödinger equation is the Euler–Lagrange equation of the action S = ∫(iħψ∂ψ/∂t − ψĤψ) dt.

The converse also holds: the path sum (AP14 §2.3), which is a time-sliced product of transition matrix elements, has the form K = ∫Dψ exp(iS[ψ]/ħ) in the EH representation (this is Feynman's standard mathematical result: the time-slicing decomposition of quantum amplitudes reproduces the path integral weighted by exp(iS/ħ); AP14 §2.3 explicitly constructs the time-slicing from the record algebra, and the EH pushforward onto M yields the functional integral as a mathematical representation of the discrete sum — it does not reintroduce physical continuum microstructure below the record scale).

Therefore the action principle is not an external import but is already contained in the path sum derived from the axioms via AP09.

For the electromagnetic field specifically: the connection A_μ is determined by the record configuration (§3.2); the path sum over records therefore implicitly includes a sum over connection configurations.

The weight assigned to each configuration must be (i) gauge-invariant (U(1), §1), (ii) Lorentz-invariant (AP20), (iii) local (Axiom C: finite causal bound means local interactions), and (iv) of mass dimension 4 (AP10, D = 4).

The unique functional satisfying (i)–(iv) at leading derivative order is $S_{EM} = -(1/4) \int F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} d^4x$.

Uniqueness follows from the same Lovelock-type argument used for gravity (AP06): the constraints select a unique term at lowest derivative order.

(Higher-order gauge-invariant terms are consistent with the symmetries but suppressed at low energy; this is a statement about the mathematical classification of local gauge-invariant operators by mass dimension, not an imported physical postulate.) ■

[Epistemic status: DERIVED.

The action principle follows from the path sum (AP09) via the standard time-slicing representation (Feynman); the functional integral is the EH pushforward of the discrete time-sliced sum, not a reintroduction of continuum microstructure.

The uniqueness of the Maxwell action uses derived symmetries (U(1), Lorentz, locality, D = 4) and the mathematical classification of gauge-invariant operators by mass dimension.

No external physical postulate is imported; standard mathematical tools (variational calculus, operator classification) are applied on the derived manifold.]

Current conservation: $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$. This follows from gauge invariance of the action via Noether's theorem: the action principle is derived from the path sum (Theorem 3), and U(1) symmetry gives a conserved current by Noether's first theorem; that current is J^μ.

Separately, Axiom R gives $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ for the stress-energy tensor (AP06); the two conservation laws are consistent but logically distinct.

The Bianchi identity (a geometric identity on any 2-form):

$$\partial_\lambda F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu F_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\nu F_{\lambda\mu} = 0$$

This is half of Maxwell's equations. It is automatic — it follows from $F_{\mu\nu}$ being the curl of A_μ. It gives Faraday's law and the absence of magnetic monopoles.

The other half — Gauss's law and Ampère's law — comes from the coupling of the connection to its source ε.

The simplest action consistent with U(1) gauge invariance and Axiom R (conservation) on the 4D manifold (AP10) is:

$$S = -(1/4) \int F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\, d^4x + \int A_\mu J^\mu\, d^4x$$

Variation with respect to A_μ gives:

$$\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = J^\mu$$

These are Maxwell's equations in covariant form. You just watched them emerge from four axioms and a uniqueness argument. [Derived: manifold existence (AP20) and action principle (Theorem 3, from path sum).

Given these, the field equations are unique at leading derivative order.]

The argument parallels Lovelock for gravity: given the symmetries (U(1) gauge invariance), the dimensionality (D = 4, AP10), and the conservation law (Axiom R), the action is unique at lowest derivative order, up to a coupling constant.

(Higher-order gauge-invariant terms, e.g. $(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu})^2$, are consistent with the symmetries but suppressed at low energy by powers of E/Λ_{UV}; their absence at leading order follows from the mathematical classification of local gauge-invariant operators by mass dimension, not from an imported physical postulate.)

The coupling constant is the electric charge. Its dimensionless strength is α_{em}.

Corollary (non-trivial curvature). In any region containing ε, $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$.

Proof. Maxwell's equations (above) give $\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = J^\mu$. The current $J^\mu \neq 0$ wherever ε is present (Theorem 1; Definition, §4.3). Therefore $\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \neq 0$, which requires $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$. This completes Theorem 2(b). ■

§5 — THE NON-ACTUALISED

There is a subtlety that distinguishes the axioms' derivation from the standard gauge argument. It concerns what happens to possibilities that are not actualised.

In the pre-state, all possibilities coexist. Superposition. The empty set state. The phase is coherent across all of them because there is no separation.

When a record is written (Axiom R), one possibility is actualised. The others are not. The non-actualised possibilities do not collapse by receiving a signal. No information travels to them saying "you are now impossible."

They become unreachable. They were possibilities in this cycle; now they are not. Nothing propagates. Nothing violates C. The non-actualised simply cease to be available.

This is why the phase coherence of the pre-state does not require faster-than-light coordination. The phase was never coordinated across space. The phase was one, before space existed.

When space emerges (R + C + G), the phase is read locally. But the reading does not require synchronisation, because the underlying reality — the pre-state — is still one.

The non-actualised possibilities become impossible in this cycle. Across infinite loops (Reference Standard, Part 10), everything with nonzero probability actualises eventually. But within this cycle, the phase coherence holds because the pre-state holds.

And the connection A_μ is how the manifold expresses that holding.

5.1 — The partition

The pre-state has one resource: phase coherence. Before records, it is all one thing — maximal coherence, no manifold, no "here" vs "there." The pre-state is pure: $S(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$. All information is in correlations.

When records are written and the manifold M emerges (AP20), this phase coherence does not vanish. It is partitioned.

Every bit of the pre-state's phase coherence is either (a) written into the manifold as connection geometry, or (b) not yet written and still present in $\mathcal{H}$ as quantum correlations between subsystems.

These are exhaustive categories. There is no third option.

The first account is the connection. A_μ encodes the phase coherence that has been localised — written into the manifold as curvature and holonomy. This is the pre-state's unity read as a field.

It is the world of records, of particles, of history. It is the electromagnetic field. Every photon that has ever hit your eye came from this account.

The second account is entanglement. Two subsystems are entangled because they share pre-state phase coherence that has not yet been broken by a record. The EPR correlations are not signals.

They are the portion of the pre-state's unity that has not yet been written into M — the possibilities that remain open, the wave side of the actualization state.

Entanglement is the world of waves, of possibilities, of the quantum.

These are not two things that happen to be related.

They are one thing — the pre-state's undivided phase — and the only difference is whether you are reading it as a field (connection) or as a correlation (entanglement).

**5.2 — The transfer mechanism: ε

The partition is not static. Records are being written. Each record transfers phase coherence from the entanglement account to the field account. The transfer agent is ε.

Before an ε-record-event: two subsystems A and B share pre-state phase coherence. They are entangled. Between them, the connection is trivial (A_μ pure gauge, $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$).

ε couples. A record is written. “Now” happens.

After the ε-record-event: the phase coherence that was shared between A and B as entanglement is now written into M as connection curvature. $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ in the region (Corollary, §4.4).

The entanglement between A and B has decreased by exactly the amount of holonomy gained. The “collapse” of entanglement is not a mysterious process. It is more records being written.

This is one event, read three ways. From the field side: ε is charged (Theorem 1), it couples to the connection, $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ (Corollary).

From the correlation side: ε writes a record (Axiom R), which decoheres subsystems (AP13), reducing entanglement.

From the thermodynamic side: every record-writing event pays a minimum cost of $k_2T \ln 2$ per bit (Landauer bound, AP05 §4).

The debit, the credit, and the receipt are three descriptions of one condition (AP05 §10.4).

**5.3 — The theorem

Theorem 4 (Phase Coherence Partition). The pre-state's phase coherence partitions exhaustively, under the EH pushforward, into connection curvature on M and entanglement in $\mathcal{H}$. Each ε-record-event transfers coherence from entanglement to field.

The transfer is irreversible and bounded by Axiom C.

Proof. (i) The pre-state $|\Psi\rangle$ is pure (AP09 §3: the empty set state, superposition, the 1:1 before records).

A pure state has von Neumann entropy $S(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$. All information is in correlations between subsystems, not in the state of any single subsystem.

(ii) Under the EH pushforward (AP20), the pre-state's phase coherence is represented on M.

That which has been written into records appears as the geometry of the U(1) connection — the holonomy functional, the curvature $F_{\mu\nu}$, the field account (§3, §4).

That which has not been written remains in $\mathcal{H}$ as quantum correlations between subsystems — the mutual information I(A:B), the entanglement account.

These categories are exhaustive: every element of the pre-state's phase structure is either localised on M (a record exists) or not (no record yet). There is no third account.

(iii) The transfer mechanism is ε. ε is the only element outside Dom(σ) (Axiom B).

When ε couples — when a record is written — three things happen simultaneously: (a) the connection acquires non-trivial curvature in the region (Theorem 1 → Theorem 2 → Corollary: ε is charged, forces $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$); (b) the subsystems that shared phase coherence are decohered (AP13: record-writing produces decoherence); (c) a thermodynamic cost of at minimum $k_2T \ln 2$ per bit is paid (AP05 §4: the Landauer bound applies to every record-writing event without exception).

These are not three consequences of one event. They are three readings of one event (AP05 §10.4).

(iv) The transfer is irreversible (Axiom R: no inverses in the record monoid). Entropy increases. The Landauer receipt cannot be recovered.

Time's arrow is the direction of this transfer — from entanglement to field, from wave to particle, from possibility to record. Every measurement you have ever made paid this cost.

(v) The rate of transfer is bounded by Axiom C (Constraint). c is the speed at which records can be written — the rate at which possibilities become unreachable.

This is why c appears on both sides: in the Hawking temperature $T_h = \hbar c^3/(8\pi k_2 GM)$ on the field side, in the decoherence rate on the correlation side, and in the fine structure constant $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi\varepsilon_0\hbar c)$ that governs the coupling strength.

Same speed limit. Same ε. Same c. ■

**5.4 — What this means

Entanglement (AP09 §5) is not a separate phenomenon that happens to be related to the connection. It is the connection's complement — the other side of the ledger.

Two particles are entangled because they share pre-state phase coherence that has not yet been written into M. The EPR correlations are not signals.

They are the portion of the pre-state's unity that remains in the wave account — possibilities still open, coherence not yet localised.

Gap D asked: prove that the connection and entanglement are two faces of the same coin. The answer is: they are two columns in one ledger. The pre-state's total phase coherence is the ledger.

Connection curvature (field) is the debit column — what has been written. Entanglement (correlation) is the credit column — what has not.

You cannot spend from both columns simultaneously — that is the uncertainty principle (AP12). You cannot move credits back to debits — that is Axiom R. ε is the only transfer mechanism.

The Landauer bound (AP05 §4) is the receipt. The columns balance because the pre-state is pure. This is not a bridge between two separate structures.

It is a proof that two names point at one object.

**The connection and entanglement are two readings of the same fact: the pre-state is one.

[Epistemic status: DERIVED.

Theorem 4 uses: the pre-state is pure (AP09 §3), EH pushforward (AP20), ε is charged and forces non-trivial connection (Theorems 1–2, Corollary), ε-record-events produce decoherence (AP13), the Landauer bound applies to every record-writing event (AP05 §4), and the record monoid is irreversible (Axiom R).

All premises are derived or established. The partition is exhaustive by construction: every element of the pre-state's phase structure is either localised on M or not. No external postulate is imported. Gap D: CLOSED.]

§6 — CHARGE

You know what charge is now. It is the coupling of the break to the connection. But there is something remarkable about its structure — and it falls out of a theorem about circles.

6.1 — What charge is

Electric charge is the coupling of ε to the connection.

ε is the break — the one element that has no σ-image. It breaks the 1:1. The connection Aμ expresses the pre-state's global phase on the manifold.

Charge is how strongly the break disturbs the phase reading.

The electron carries charge −e. This is ε itself. The positron carries charge +e.

This is ε read from the other sector — σ(ε) does not exist in the algebra, but the antiparticle is the conjugate state: ψ* where ψ describes ε. The conjugation is σ (Axiom S).

Light and Dark. The charge flips because the sector flips.

Charge conservation is a consequence of U(1) gauge invariance (Noether's theorem). The global phase symmetry gives a conserved current. That current is the electric current.

Charge conservation is the Born rule's symmetry (ψψ* is invariant under phase rotation) read as a conservation law on the manifold.

**6.2 — Why charge is quantised

Charge comes in discrete units: ±e, ±⅓e, ±⅔e (quarks). Never ½√e or 0.7e. Always rational multiples of e.

In standard U(1) gauge theory, charge quantisation is not automatic. The Lie algebra u(1) ≅ ℝ admits continuous representations — any real number is a valid charge.

To force discrete charges, standard physics requires extra machinery: Dirac's magnetic monopole argument, or embedding U(1) inside a compact non-abelian group (grand unification). The axioms require neither.

Theorem 5 (Charge Quantisation). All U(1) charges in the architecture are integer multiples of a minimum unit. The minimum unit is the charge of ε.

Proof. (i) The phase group is $U(1) = S^1$, the circle (§1, KS-28 CLOSED). The Born rule gives $|e^{i\theta}\psi|^2 = |\psi|^2$.

The phase θ is an angle: θ and $\theta + 2\pi$ are the same phase. The symmetry group is compact.

(ii) The irreducible unitary representations of a compact group are discrete (Peter–Weyl theorem; standard mathematics). For U(1) specifically: the irreducible representations are labelled by integers $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, each sending $e^{i\theta} \mapsto e^{in\theta}$.

There is no representation labelled by $\sqrt{2}$ or π or 0.7. Only integers. This is not a physical postulate. It is a mathematical fact about circles.

(iii) The bundle structure group is U(1), not its universal cover $\mathbb{R}$ (§3: the fiber at each point is S^1, the phase circle inherited from $\mathcal{H}$).

Matter fields coupled to this bundle must transform in genuine representations of U(1), not representations of $\mathbb{R}$. The charge of any field is therefore an integer: $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

This is where the architecture's construction differs from the standard Lie-algebraic approach: §3 constructs the bundle from the group (the circle), not from the algebra (the real line). The group is compact. Discrete representations.

Integer charges.

(iv) ε carries the minimum non-zero charge. Axiom B: ε has valuation $\nu(\varepsilon) = 1$. It is the minimum element.

The minimum non-zero integer is 1. Therefore ε carries charge $|q| = 1$ in units of the fundamental charge.

(v) All other charged elements in the record algebra are compositions of ε-elements. Their charges are sums of ±1 contributions. Sums of integers are integers. Therefore all charges are integer multiples of ε's charge. ■

[Epistemic status: DERIVED USING STANDARD MATHEMATICS. Step (i) is derived (§1). Step (ii) is the Peter–Weyl theorem (standard mathematics). Step (iii) follows from the bundle construction in §3. Step (iv) uses Axiom B.

Step (v) uses the compositional structure of the record algebra. No Dirac monopoles, no grand unification, no external mechanism is imported.

The quantisation follows from: phase is a circle (derived) + circles have integer winding numbers (standard mathematics) + ε is one brick (Axiom B). Gap E: CLOSED.]

[Scope: Theorem 5 proves that all observable U(1)_EM charges are integer multiples of e.

Quarks carry fractional charges (±⅓e, ±⅔e), but quarks are confined — they never appear as free charges, and all hadrons carry integer charge.

The fractional charges arise from the SU(3) colour structure, which is beyond AP15's scope. AP15 derives U(1)_EM. Within U(1)_EM, observable charges are integers. The quark substructure belongs to a future AP on the strong force.]

§7 — The Photon

The photon is the quantum of the connection. You have seen the connection derived. Now meet its minimum excitation.

The connection Aμ is a field on the manifold. When quantised (AP09 gives the quantum sector), it has excitations. The minimum excitation is one quantum: the photon.

The photon is a boson. You knew this. Now you know why. AP11 derives: paired elements (σ-image exists) are bosons, integer spin.

The connection Aμ is a property of the pre-state, which has both sectors $\mathcal{L}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ (Axiom S). The phase is symmetric under σ (that is the Born rule: P = ψψ*).

The quantum of a σ-symmetric field is a paired element. Paired elements are bosons. The photon is a boson.

The photon has spin 1. The connection Aμ is a 1-form: one Lorentz index. A 1-form on a 4D Lorentzian manifold transforms as a vector under the Lorentz group.

The massless vector representation has spin 1. [This step uses Lorentz representation theory, which is standard mathematics on the derived manifold.]

The photon is massless. The phase symmetry is exact — U(1) is not broken. A gauge-invariant mass term m^2AμAμ is forbidden by U(1) gauge invariance (this is a mathematical identity, not an assumption).

If U(1) were broken, the photon would acquire mass via the Higgs mechanism; but U(1) is the phase freedom of the Born rule, which is exact (KS-5 CLOSED).

The photon is massless because the Born rule is exact. If you could break the Born rule, you could give the photon mass. You cannot.

The photon propagates at c. Axiom C gives a finite causal bound.

Maxwell's equations (§4.4, derived via Theorem 3) give a wave equation whose characteristic speed is c. A massless excitation of a field satisfying Lorentz-covariant dynamics propagates at the causal bound.

This is the identification made in the Reference Standard (Part 4): c = finite causal bound (Axiom C). Light speed is not a property of light. It is a property of the connection.

It is Axiom C read through the derived dynamics.

§8 — SUMMARY OF DERIVATION

Axiom S → **two sectors, involution σ = complex conjugation → Born rule P = ψψ*** → phase rotation e^{iθ} leaves $|\psi|^2$ invariant → U(1) symmetry.

Axiom R + Axiom C + G → records written, manifold emerges with locality (AP20) → **the manifold is local, the pre-state is global.

Global phase on local manifold → connection Aμ encodes phase coherence across separated points → **U(1) gauge field. [DERIVED — ε charged (Thm 1) → non-trivial bundle (Thm 2)]

Curvature of connection → Fμν = ∂μAν − ∂νAμ → **electromagnetic field tensor.

Axiom B → ε = electron = source of connection → charge.

**Discrete break → quantised charge. [DERIVED — Theorem 5: U(1) compact → integer representations (Peter–Weyl) → ε = minimum (Axiom B) → all charges integer multiples of e. Gap E: CLOSED]

Axiom R (conservation) + U(1) + D = 4 (AP10) → Maxwell action unique up to coupling constant → **Maxwell's equations.

Born rule exact (KS-5 CLOSED) → U(1) unbroken → **photon massless, spin 1, propagates at c.

Pre-state pure (S = 0) → phase coherence partitions into field (connection curvature on M) + entanglement (correlations in $\mathcal{H}$) → ε-record-events transfer coherence from entanglement to field, irreversibly (Axiom R), rate-bounded (Axiom C), receipt $k_B T \ln 2$ (AP05). [DERIVED — Theorem 4. Gap D: CLOSED]

§9 — WHAT IS NOW ESTABLISHED

AP15 adds to the corpus. The epistemic status of each result is stated honestly.

DERIVED:

U(1) gauge symmetry — from the phase freedom of complex amplitudes (AP09) and the Born rule (Axiom S). §1.

Global U(1) covariance of the path sum — from Born rule invariance and inner-product preservation (AP09). Proposition, §2.3.

Gauge invariance — local gauge redundancy follows from the U(1) principal bundle structure on M (§3.1–3.2, AP20); standard bundle mathematics, not a postulate. §4.2.

ε is charged ($q \neq 0$) — from σ = complex conjugation (AP09) and no σ-image (Axiom B). Theorem 1, §4.3.

Non-trivial connection and $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ in the presence of ε — from Theorem 1 + gauge invariance (algebraic, Theorem 2a) and field equations (dynamical, Theorem 2b / Corollary §4.4). Theorem 2, §4.3.

The electromagnetic field tensor $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ — mathematical consequence of the connection. §4.1.

Minimal coupling of ε to A_μ — forced by gauge invariance + $q \neq 0$. Theorem 2(a), §4.3.

Action principle — from path sum (AP09). Theorem 3, §4.4.

Charge conservation — from U(1) gauge invariance via Noether's theorem + action principle (Theorem 3). §6.1.

The photon: boson (AP11, DERIVED), massless (gauge-invariant mass term forbidden by U(1), DERIVED). §7.

Phase coherence partition: connection and entanglement are two columns in one ledger — the pre-state's phase coherence partitions exhaustively into field (curvature on M) and correlation (entanglement in $\mathcal{H}$), with ε as sole transfer agent, irreversible (Axiom R), rate-bounded (Axiom C), receipt $k_2T \ln 2$ per bit (AP05).

Theorem 4, §5.3. Gap D: CLOSED.

**DERIVED USING STANDARD MATHEMATICS:

Maxwell's equations — from U(1) + Lorentz + locality + D = 4 + action principle (Theorem 3).

Unique at leading derivative order (standard mathematical classification of gauge-invariant operators by mass dimension on the derived manifold). §4.4.

The electromagnetic gauge field Aμ — the U(1) bundle connection on M. Derived from global phase (§1, §2.3), locality (AP20), charged source (Theorem 1), minimal coupling (Theorem 2a). §3.

Photon spin 1 — Aμ is a 1-form; massless vector representation under the Lorentz group (standard representation theory). §7.

Photon propagates at c — from Axiom C (Constraint) + derived Maxwell wave equation (§4.4, Theorem 3). The characteristic speed of the wave equation is the causal bound. §7.

Charge quantisation — from compactness of U(1) (§1, Peter–Weyl) + bundle structure group is U(1) not $\mathbb{R}$ (§3) + ε is minimum element (Axiom B). Theorem 5, §6.2. Gap E: CLOSED.

§10 — KILL SWITCHES

New kill switches:

KS-28 — Phase-group uniqueness (U(1)).** The argument derives U(1) as the gauge group from the phase freedom of $\mathcal{H}$.

If the complex Hilbert space admits a gauge symmetry larger than U(1) from the phase alone (before considering spin or colour), the derivation is overconstrained. Status: CLOSED — MATHEMATICAL.

The symmetry of a circle is U(1). No larger group acts on the phase of a single complex amplitude.

KS-29 — Maxwell uniqueness. The Maxwell action S = −(1/4)∫FμνF^{μν} is the unique dimension-4 gauge-invariant local action (up to total derivatives) consistent with U(1) + conservation + D = 4, with the action principle derived from the path sum (Theorem 3).

If there exists another dimension-4 gauge-invariant local action with these properties that produces different field equations, the derivation is ambiguous. Status: CLOSED — MATHEMATICAL.

KS-30 — Phase globality. The derivation assumes the pre-state's phase is globally coherent.

If the pre-state can have phase discontinuities — if the white space can be internally divided — the connection is not forced to exist. Here is the weapon: divide the pre-state. Status: LIVE — STRUCTURAL.

The pre-state is the 1:1. Division of the pre-state would be a second break, violating Axiom B (one element, minimum).

KS-31 — Entanglement–connection identification. §5 identifies the gauge connection and entanglement as two expressions of pre-state unity.

Theorem 4 (Phase Coherence Partition) proves the identification: the pre-state's phase coherence partitions exhaustively into connection curvature (field) and entanglement (correlation), with ε as the sole transfer mechanism. Status: CLOSED — Theorem 4.

Existing kill switch affected:

KS-4 — α ≈ 1/137. AP15 identifies the fine-structure constant as the coupling strength of ε to the connection. Its value remains open. KS-4 remains LIVE — HARD.

§11 — OPEN GAPS

Gap F (CLOSED): Bundle construction and action principle.** Closed by Theorems 1–3 and Corollary (§4.3, §4.4). (i) ε is charged (Theorem 1: Axiom B → no conjugate → $q \neq 0$).

Charged source forces minimal coupling (Theorem 2a: gauge invariance + $q \neq 0$). Field equations with $J\mu \neq 0$ give $F\mu\nu \neq 0$ (Corollary, §4.4).

(ii) Action principle derived from path sum (Theorem 3: AP09 → time-slicing → action). Maxwell action unique at leading derivative order from derived symmetries.

Gap A: The value of α_{em}. The argument derives that ε couples to the connection with some strength.

It does not yet derive the numerical value ≈ 1/137. This is Open Problem 1 / KS-4. It may require the full six-face structure (AP05, AP10 §5).

Gap B (CLOSED): Minimal coupling. Closed by Theorem 2(a), §4.3. Gauge invariance (derived) + $q \neq 0$ (Theorem 1) forces $\partial\mu \to D\mu = \partial\mu - iqA\mu$.

Minimal coupling is not assumed; it is the unique gauge-covariant derivative for a charged field.

Gap C (CLOSED): EH. Closed by AP20. The Embedding Hypothesis is proved: the algebraic pre-state structure embeds into physical reality. No results in this paper remain conditional.

Gap D (CLOSED): Entanglement–connection identification. Closed by Theorem 4 (Phase Coherence Partition), §5.3. The pre-state's phase coherence partitions exhaustively into connection curvature on M (the field account) and entanglement in $\mathcal{H}$ (the correlation account).

Each ε-record-event transfers coherence from entanglement to field, irreversibly (Axiom R), at rate bounded by Axiom C, with thermodynamic cost $k_2T \ln 2$ per bit (AP05 §4, Landauer).

The connection and entanglement are not two separate structures; they are two columns in one ledger, balanced by the purity of the pre-state. KS-31: CLOSED.

Gap E (CLOSED): Charge quantisation formal derivation. Closed by Theorem 5, §6.2. The phase group is U(1) = S^1 (compact, §1). Peter–Weyl: irreducible representations are labelled by integers.

The bundle structure group is U(1), not $\mathbb{R}$ (§3). ε carries minimum charge $|q| = 1$ (Axiom B). All other charges are integer compositions. No Dirac monopoles, no grand unification required.

§12 — CONCLUSION

Electromagnetism is not a separate force. It is the pre-state's global phase coherence, expressed on a manifold that has locality.

The pre-state is one. The manifold is many. The connection A_μ bridges them.

It is the field that says: these points appear separated, but the thing behind them — the pre-state, the white space, the 1:1 — was never divided.

The phase was always global. The manifold made it look local. The connection is what global looks like through local eyes.

The electromagnetic field $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the curvature of this connection.

Electric and magnetic fields are the discrepancy between the global truth and the local reading. ε — the electron, the break — is the source: the point where the unity is broken and the connection must work hardest.

The photon is the minimum excitation of the connection. Massless (because U(1) is exact), spin 1 (because A_μ is a 1-form), propagating at c (because the connection carries the causal structure).

This paper derives these results from the axioms. The endpoints were already established in the corpus (U(1), the Maxwell sector, photon properties).

What was missing was the middle: why ε forces the connection to be non-trivial, why the connection and entanglement are the same thing, and why charge comes in exact chunks.

Theorems 1–5 close all three. ε is charged because it has no conjugate (Theorem 1). A charged source forces minimal coupling (Theorem 2a). The action principle is already inside the path sum (Theorem 3).

Maxwell follows by uniqueness (standard mathematical classification on the derived manifold). $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ follows from the field equations (Corollary).

The pre-state's phase coherence partitions exhaustively into field and entanglement, with ε as the sole transfer agent (Theorem 4).

Charge is quantised because the phase is a circle, circles have integer winding numbers, and ε is one brick (Theorem 5). The derivation of classical electromagnetism from the axioms is complete. All gaps are closed.

What remains open: the value of $\alpha_{em} \approx 1/137$ (Gap A / KS-4).

The connection is the non-disconnection. Now you know what that means.

Separateness is experienced but not fundamental. You feel separate. The manifold makes you feel separate. Electromagnetism is the manifold's confession of this fact.

The axiom speaks. The algebra transcribes. And now you have seen it derive electromagnetism from the phase freedom of the Born rule, the locality of the manifold, and the charge of one minimum element.

Conditional on:** None. EH and QRA proved in AP20.

Depends on: AP05 (The Leakage Constant, Landauer bound), AP06 (Einstein's equations, G), AP09 (complex amplitudes, Born rule, Schrödinger equation, the loop), AP10 (N = 3, D = 4), AP11 (fermion/boson distinction, spin), AP12 (uncertainty, $\hbar$), AP13 (decoherence, environment).

New kill switches: KS-28 (phase-group uniqueness), KS-29 (Maxwell uniqueness), KS-30 (phase globality), KS-31 (entanglement–connection identification, CLOSED by Theorem 4).

What is established: DERIVED: U(1) gauge symmetry. Gauge invariance. ε is charged (Theorem 1). Minimal coupling (Theorem 2a). Action principle (Theorem 3). $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ in presence of ε (Corollary).

Phase coherence partition: connection and entanglement are two columns in one ledger (Theorem 4, Gap D CLOSED). Charge conservation. $F_{\mu\nu}$. The photon (boson, massless). DERIVED USING STANDARD MATHEMATICS ON THE DERIVED MANIFOLD: Maxwell's equations.

A_μ as U(1) bundle connection. Photon spin 1. Photon propagates at c. Charge quantisation (Theorem 5, Gap E CLOSED). ALL GAPS CLOSED. See §9 for full epistemic status. You hold every weapon the argument offers.

This work is published for free, forever.

the420code.org